

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6

7 HUMBERTO AMEZCUA and OCTAVIO
8 RENE VAZQUEZ CORNEJO,

9 Plaintiffs,

10 vs.

11 GERARD BOON, DOES 1 through X, inclusive,
12 and ROE CORPORATIONS 1 through X,
13 inclusive,

14 Defendants.

Case No. 2:13-cv-01608-APG-CWH

ORDER

15 Presently before the Court is Defendant's Request for Clarification as to Minute Order
16 Regarding Motion to Strike Medical Experts (ECF No. 85). Defendant requests the Court to clarify
17 the scope of its Order (ECF No. 84) regarding Defendant's Motion to Strike Medical Experts and
18 Rebuttal Experts (ECF No. 66)

19 As the Court ruled at the Motion Hearing held on June 16, 2016, Defendant's Motion (ECF
20 No. 66) is GRANTED. Plaintiff's non-retained medical providers are stricken as medical experts
21 and rebuttal experts. However, the medical providers may testify as percipient witnesses.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 DATED: June 21, 2016
24

25 
26 C.W. Hoffman, Jr.
27 United States Magistrate Judge
28